arXiv:1203.4520v4 [math.GT] 23 Jul 2012

LOW DIMENSIONAL PROJECTIVE GROUPS

INDRANIL BISWAS AND MAHAN MJ

Abstract. We initiate the study of holomorphically convex groups: groups that can be realized as fundamental groups of smooth complex projective varieties with holomorphically convex universal covers. If G is a holomorphically convex group of cohomological dimension two, we show that G is isomorphic to the fundamental group of a compact Riemann surface. As a consequence, we show that if a linear group G has (rational) cohomological dimension two and is the fundamental group of a smooth complex projective variety, then G is a (virtual) surface group.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
1.1. Motivation and history 3
2. Holomorphically convex universal cover 3
2.1. Higher cohomology groups 3
2.2. The second homotopy group 4
2.3. The second (co)homology groups 5
3. Second cohomology with local coefficients 6
4. Duality and Poincaré duality groups 8
4.1. Upgrading duality to Poincaré duality 9
5. Rational cohomological dimension two 10
6. An example 11
7. $\mathcal{HC}$ groups 11
Acknowledgments 12
References 12

1. Introduction

A long–standing and well–studied problem due to Serre is to determine which finitely presented groups can be realized as fundamental groups of smooth projective complex varieties or more generally of compact Kähler manifolds [ABCKT]. Fundamental groups of compact Kähler manifolds are usually referred to as *Kähler groups*. We shall similarly refer to fundamental groups of smooth complex projective varieties as *projective groups*. Projective groups are of course Kähler. The converse is a well–known open question.

A connected complex manifold M is called *holomorphically convex* if for every sequence of points $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of M without any accumulation point, there is a holomorphic function f on M such that the sequence of nonnegative numbers $\{|f(x_i)|\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is unbounded. In this paper, we initiate the study of

---

Date: February 27, 2013.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57M50, 32Q15, 57M05 (Primary); 14F35, 32J15 (Secondary).

Key words and phrases. Kähler group, projective group, duality group, cohomological dimension, holomorphically convex.

Research of the second author is partly supported by CEFIPRA Indo-French Research Grant 4301-1.

a natural subclass of projective groups, namely groups that can be realized as fundamental groups of smooth complex projective varieties, of dimension at least two, with holomorphically convex universal covers. We shall call such groups **holomorphically convex groups** (any holomorphically convex group is the fundamental group of a smooth complex projective surface with holomorphically convex universal cover; see Proposition 2.1). A conjecture of Shafarevich asserts that all smooth projective varieties have holomorphically convex universal covers.

Fundamental groups of compact Riemann surfaces are called *surface groups*. A group is said to be *virtually a surface group* if some finite index subgroup of it is a surface group. In this paper we address the following question:

Question 1.1. Let G be a non-trivial Kähler group of (integral cohomological) dimension less than four. Is G the fundamental group of a closed Riemann surface?

Closely related questions have been raised independently by Delzant and Kotschick. (See Section 1.1 below for history and motivation.)

We shall refer to the integral cohomological dimension of a group G simply as its dimension. The dimension zero and dimension one cases of Question 1.1 are well-known as a group has dimension zero (respectively, one) if and only if it is trivial (respectively, free); that these cannot be Kähler is well-known [ABCKT]. We shall therefore deal with dimensions two and three.

The main theorem proved here is the following (see Theorem 4.7, Theorem 5.2).

Theorem 1.2. *Let G be a holomorphically convex group.*

- *If G is of dimension two, then G is a surface group.*
- *If G has rational cohomological dimension two, then it is a virtual surface group.*

Theorem 1.2 has the following corollary (see Corollary 4.8):

Corollary 1.3. *Any Kähler group G that is of integral cohomological dimension two and providing a negative answer to Question 1.1 must be*

(a) *either non-projective, or*
(b) *the fundamental group of a smooth projective complex surface X such that its universal cover $\widetilde{X}$ is not holomorphically convex.*

If X is a smooth complex projective variety such that $\pi_1(X)$ is a subgroup of some $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ (such groups are called linear), then the universal cover X is holomorphically convex [EKPR]. Therefore, Theorem 1.2 has the following corollary (see Corollary 4.10, Corollary 5.3):

Corollary 1.4. *Let G be a linear projective group.*

- *If G is of dimension two, then G is a surface group.*
- *If G has rational cohomological dimension two, then it is a virtual surface group.*

The key ingredients in the proofs of Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.4 include

1. topology (especially second homotopy group) of smooth complex projective surfaces with holomorphically convex universal cover (Section 2),
2. a spectral sequence argument for computing group cohomology with local coefficients (Section 3), which was inspired in part by an argument of Klingler [Kli]
3. homological group theory of duality and Poincaré duality groups (Section 4),
4. a theorem of Eyssidieux, Katzarkov, Pantev and Ramachandran [EKPR] showing that complex projective manifolds with linear fundamental group have holomorphically convex universal cover.

Rivin asks if there are smooth complex projective surfaces other than $\mathbb{P}^1 \times C$, where C is a compact Riemann surface, with fundamental group of cohomological dimension at most two [Ri, Question 2.8]. In Example 6.1 we produce such surfaces. However the fundamental groups of these surfaces are virtually surface groups.

Finally in Section 7 we formulate a group-theoretic version of the Shafarevich conjecture (Conjecture 7.1) asking if the class of holomorphically convex groups equals the class of projective groups. We show that if G is a holomorphically convex group, then $H^2(G,\, \mathbb{Z}G)$ is free abelian (Proposition 7.2). To the best of our knowledge it is not known whether $H^2(G,\, \mathbb{Z}G)$ is free abelian for every Kähler group G.

1.1. Motivation and history.

Several examples of groups closely related to those covered by Theorem 1.2 have been dealt with in the literature.

In [BM] we prove that infinite one–relator Kähler groups are precisely fundamental groups of (complex) one dimensional orbifolds with at most one cone–point. One–relator groups have rational cohomological dimension two. This provides another case where the answer to Question 1.1 is affirmative. The techniques used in [BM] are completely different from those used here.

It was pointed out to us by Delzant [DeSt], and appears already in a paper of Kotschick [Ko] that the image of the Albanese map for a compact Kähler manifold with fundamental group G of dimension less than four is either a point or a smooth complex projective curve. As observed in [BM] it follows that if in addition G is also coherent and of cohomological dimension 2, possessing a finite index subgroup with positive first Betti number, then G is a surface group.

2. Holomorphically convex universal cover

A complex analytic manifold M is holomorphically convex if and only if it admits a proper holomorphic map $\Pi : M \longrightarrow Q$ to a Stein space Q such that $\Pi_*\mathcal{O}_M = \mathcal{O}_Q$. The Stein space Q is referred to as the Cartan–Remmert reduction of M [Re].

Proposition 2.1. *Let G be a holomorphically convex group, meaning $G = \pi_1(X)$, where X is a smooth complex projective variety with holomorphically convex universal cover and $\dim X \geq 2$. Then there is a smooth complex projective surface S with holomorphically convex universal cover such that $G = \pi_1(S)$.*

Proof. Assume that $\dim X > 2$. Let $\widetilde{X}$ be the universal cover of X. Fix an embedding $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{CP}^n$. By the Lefschetz hyperplane theorem, the inclusion

$$\iota \,:\, Y \,:=\, X \cap H \,\hookrightarrow\, X$$

induces an isomorphism of fundamental groups, where H is a suitable hyperplane. Hence ι lifts to a proper holomorphic embedding $\widetilde{\iota} : \widetilde{Y} \longrightarrow \widetilde{X}$, where $\widetilde{Y}$ is the universal cover of Y. Therefore, $\widetilde{Y}$ is a complex analytic submanifold of $\widetilde{X}$.

Since $\widetilde{Y}$ is properly embedded in $\widetilde{X}$, it follows that if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence of points of Y without any accumulation point in Y, then $\{x_n\}$ does not have have any accumulation point in X. By holomorphic convexity of $\widetilde{X}$, there is a holomorphic function f on $\widetilde{X}$ such that the sequence $\{|f(x_n)|\}$ is unbounded. Considering the function $f \circ \iota$ we conclude that $\widetilde{Y}$ is holomorphically convex. Now the proposition is deduced inductively. □

2.1. Higher cohomology groups.

In the rest of this section, we assume X to be a smooth complex projective surface such that the universal cover $\widetilde{X}$ of X is noncompact and holomorphically convex. In particular, $\pi_1(X)$ is an infinite group. The Cartan–Remmert reduction of $\widetilde{X}$ will be denoted by $\widetilde{Y}$. We note that $\widetilde{Y}$ is not a point because $\widetilde{X}$ is noncompact.

Narasimhan, [Na], and Goresky–MacPherson, [GM], gave restrictions on the topology of $\widetilde{Y}$.

Theorem 2.2 ([Na, GM])**.** *Let M be a (not necessarily smooth) connected complex projective surface with Stein universal cover $\widetilde{M}$. Then $H^i(\widetilde{M},\, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for $i \geq 3$. Moreover, $\widetilde{M}$ is homotopy equivalent to a 2-dimensional* CW*-complex.*

2.2. **The second homotopy group.** Let X be a smooth complex projective surface, and let $f : X \longrightarrow X'$ be the minimal model. Then $f_* : \pi_1(X) \longrightarrow \pi_1(X')$ is an isomorphism; this is because X is obtained by successive blow-up of points starting with X'. Therefore, we may, and we will, assume that the surface X under consideration is minimal.

We use the notation $H_i(M)$ (respectively, $H^i(M)$) to denote $H_i(M, \mathbb{Z})$ (respectively, $H^i(M, \mathbb{Z})$).

We recall a theorem of Andreotti–Narasimhan [AN].

Theorem 2.3 ([AN])**.** *Let M be a (not necessarily smooth) complex projective surface whose universal cover $\widetilde{M}$ is Stein. Then the second homotopy group $\pi_2(M)$ is free abelian.*

Proposition 2.4. *Let X be a smooth complex projective minimal surface whose universal cover $\widetilde{X}$ is holomorphically convex. Then the second homotopy group $\pi_2(X)$ is free abelian.*

In [Gu], Gurjar proved that the second homotopy group of X is torsion-free if the universal cover of X is holomorphically convex. Gurjar has communicated to us an observation due to Deligne that the proof in [Gu] leads to Proposition 2.4. He also showed us his notes on [Gu]. Since Proposition 2.4 is not available in print, we have supplied here a proof based on notes of Gurjar on [Gu].

Let

$$\phi : \widetilde{X} \longrightarrow \widetilde{Y} \tag{2.1}$$

be the Cartan–Remmert reduction of $\widetilde{X}$. There are two cases to consider:

1. The Cartan–Remmert reduction $\widetilde{Y}$ is a Riemann surface. In this case, Proposition 2.4 has been proved by Gurjar [Gu].
2. The Stein space $\widetilde{Y}$ is of complex dimension two. Andreotti and Narasimhan, [AN], have proved that in this case $H_2(\widetilde{X})$ is torsion-free. They further show that if $\widetilde{X}$ has only finitely many compact analytic subvarieties, then $H_2(\widetilde{X})$ is free abelian.

In the rest of this subsection we assume that the complex dimension of $\widetilde{Y}$ is two.

The space $\widetilde{Y}$ is normal. There is a discrete set P of points of $\widetilde{Y}$ such that

(a) P consists of the singularities of $\widetilde{Y}$ and some smooth points, and
(b) $\widetilde{X}$ is obtained by resolving singularities of $\widetilde{Y}$ and blowing up the smooth points in P.

Since X is minimal, we know that X, hence $\widetilde{X}$, does not contain any smooth rational curve that can be contracted. Hence P consists of singularities alone. Consequently, P is a discrete set of points.

It follows that every non-trivial fiber (meaning the fiber is not a single point) F_0 of ϕ in (2.1) is a finite union of irreducible projective curves, and $H_2(F_0)$ is a free abelian group, generated freely by the homology classes of the irreducible components of F_0 [Gu]. Let F denote the union of all nontrivial fibers of ϕ. Hence $H_2(F)$ is a free abelian group, generated freely by the homology classes of all the irreducible components of all the non-trivial fibers of ϕ. Also $\phi|_{\widetilde{X}\setminus F} : \widetilde{X} \setminus F \longrightarrow \widetilde{Y} \setminus P$ is a homeomorphism.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\iota : F \longrightarrow \widetilde{X}$ be the inclusion map. Then*

$$\iota_* : H_2(F) \longrightarrow H_2(\widetilde{X})$$

is an injection. Also $H_3(\widetilde{X}) = 0$.

Proof. First observe that $H_3(\widetilde{X}; F) = H_3(\widetilde{Y}; P)$; this follows from the fact that the spaces obtained from $\widetilde{X}$ and $\widetilde{Y}$ by coning off F and P respectively are homotopy equivalent. Since P is a discrete set of points, we have $H_3(\widetilde{Y}) = H_3(\widetilde{Y}; P)$ by the relative homology exact sequence for the pair $(\widetilde{Y}, P)$. As $\widetilde{Y}$ is Stein, we have $H_3(\widetilde{Y}) = 0$ by Theorem 2.2. Hence $H_3(\widetilde{X}; F) = 0$. Now the relative homology exact sequence for the pair $(\widetilde{X}, F)$

$$H_3(\widetilde{X}; F) \longrightarrow H_2(F) \longrightarrow H_2(\widetilde{X})$$

yields the first statement of the lemma.

Since $H_3(\widetilde{X};\, F) = 0 = H_3(F)$, the relative homology exact sequence for the pair $(\widetilde{X};\, F)$

$$H_3(F) \longrightarrow H_3(\widetilde{X}) \longrightarrow H_3(\widetilde{X};\, F)$$

yields the second statement. ☐

Proof of Proposition 2.4: Let $N \subset \widetilde{Y}$ be a disjoint union of compact contractible neighborhoods of points in P. Let

$$\widetilde{N} = \phi^{-1}(N) \subset \widetilde{X}\,.$$

Then $\widetilde{N} \cup (\widetilde{X} \setminus F) = \widetilde{X}$ and $N \cup (\widetilde{Y} \setminus P) = \widetilde{Y}$. We have the following commutative diagram from the Mayer–Vietoris sequences for these two spaces:

(2.2)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H_3(\widetilde{X}) \arrow[r] & H_2(\partial N) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\overset{\phi_*}{\cong}"] & H_2(\widetilde{X} \setminus F) \arrow[r, phantom, "\oplus"] \arrow[d, "\overset{\phi_*}{\cong}"] & H_2(\widetilde{N}) \arrow[r, "i_1"] \arrow[d] & H_2(\widetilde{X}) \arrow[r, "j_1"] \arrow[d, "\phi_*"] & {} \\
H_3(\widetilde{Y})\,(= 0) \arrow[r] & H_2(\partial N) \arrow[r] & H_2(\widetilde{Y} - P) \arrow[r, phantom, "\oplus"] & \{0\} \arrow[r, "i_2"] & H_2(\widetilde{Y}) \arrow[r, "j_2"] & {}
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
{} \arrow[r, "j_1"] & H_1(\partial N) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\overset{\phi_*}{\cong}"] & H_1(X - P) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\overset{\phi_*}{\cong}"] & 0 \\
{} \arrow[r, "j_2"] & H_1(\partial N) \arrow[r] & H_1(\widetilde{Y} \setminus P) \arrow[r] & H_1(\widetilde{Y})
\end{tikzcd}$$

In the above diagram, $H_3(\widetilde{Y}) = 0$ by Theorem 2.2. Also $i_1|_{H_2(\widetilde{N})}$ is injective by Lemma 2.5. Hence by (a slight modification of the proof of) the 5-lemma, the homomorphism ϕ_* induces an isomorphism between $H_2(\widetilde{X})/i_1(H_2(\widetilde{N}))$ and $H_2(\widetilde{Y})$.

Now, $H_2(\widetilde{Y})$ is a free abelian group, because $\widetilde{Y}$ is Stein (see Theorem 2.3). It follows that $H_2(\widetilde{X})$ is isomorphic to $H_2(\widetilde{N}) \oplus H_2(\widetilde{Y})$ as $i_1|_{H_2(\widetilde{N})}$ is injective. But $H_2(\widetilde{N}) = H_2(F)$ is free. Hence $H_2(\widetilde{X})$ is free.

Finally, since $\widetilde{X}$ is simply connected, it follows from the Hurewicz theorem that $\pi_2(X) = \pi_2(\widetilde{X}) = H_2(\widetilde{X})$ is a free abelian group. ☐

2.3. **The second (co)homology groups.**

Corollary 2.6. *Let X be a smooth complex projective minimal surface whose universal cover $\widetilde{X}$ is holomorphically convex. Then the second homology group $H_2(\widetilde{X},\, \mathbb{Z})$ is free abelian. Also, the second cohomology group is isomorphic to* $\mathrm{Hom}(H_2(\widetilde{X},\, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z})$*, and it is a direct product of copies of $\mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. The first statement follows from the Hurewicz theorem as $\widetilde{X}$ is simply connected.

The second statement follows from the universal coefficient theorem. Since $H_1(\widetilde{X},\, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, it follows that $H^2(\widetilde{X},\, \mathbb{Z})$ is torsion-free. Hence $H^2(\widetilde{X},\, \mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Hom}(H_2(\widetilde{X},\, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z})$, which is a direct product of copies of $\mathbb{Z}$, since $H_2(\widetilde{X},\, \mathbb{Z})$ is a free abelian group as per the first statement. ☐

The above proof of Proposition 2.4 gives us more. Suppose $G = \pi_1(X)$ is torsion-free. Then, $H_2(F)$ is a finitely generated *free* $\mathbb{Z}G$-module, because G acts freely on Y and hence G acts freely on the union of fibers over the singular set P. Thus, the above Mayer–Vietoris argument gives the following:

Corollary 2.7. *Let X be a smooth complex projective minimal surface whose universal cover $\widetilde{X}$ is holomorphically convex. Let the Cartan–Remmert reduction $\widetilde{Y}$ of $\widetilde{X}$ be a complex surface. Then $H_2(\widetilde{X}) = A \oplus H_2(\widetilde{Y})$ as $\mathbb{Z}G$–modules, where A is a finitely generated free $\mathbb{Z}G$-module. Further, $A = 0$ if and only if $\widetilde{X}$ is Stein, i.e., $\widetilde{Y} = \widetilde{X}$.*

The last statement follows from the fact that $A = 0$ if and only if $H_2(F) = 0$, which in turn is true if and only if the set P is empty, meaning $\widetilde{X}$ is Stein with $\widetilde{Y} = \widetilde{X}$.

3. Second cohomology with local coefficients

Lemma 3.1. *Let X be a smooth complex projective surface with holomorphically convex universal cover $\widetilde{X}$. Assume that $G := \pi_1(X)$ is torsion-free. Let $\phi : \widetilde{X} \longrightarrow \widetilde{Y}$ be the Cartan–Remmert reduction. Assume that $\widetilde{Y}$ is an open Riemann surface. Then G is isomorphic to the fundamental group of a compact Riemann surface.*

Proof. The Riemann surface $\widetilde{Y}$ must be biholomorphic to either the complex plane or the upper half plane [Gu, p. 703]. Since G is torsion-free, and ϕ is a proper G–equivariant map, it follows that G acts on Y freely properly discontinuously and cocompactly by holomorphic automorphisms of $\widetilde{Y}$. Hence $\widetilde{Y}/G$ must be a closed Riemann surface. □

Lemma 3.2. *Let X be a smooth complex projective minimal surface with holomorphically convex universal cover $\widetilde{X}$. Let $\phi : \widetilde{X} \longrightarrow \widetilde{Y}$ be the Cartan–Remmert reduction. Assume that $\widetilde{Y}$ is a complex surface. Also, assume that $G := \pi_1(X)$ is torsion-free. Then*

$$\phi^* : H^4_c(\widetilde{X}) \longrightarrow H^4_c(\widetilde{Y})$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. As before, P denotes the singular locus of $\widetilde{Y}$, which is a discrete subset of points because $\widetilde{Y}$ is normal. Let

$$B_1 \subset B_2 \subset \cdots \subset B_n \subset \cdots$$

be a (relatively) compact exhaustion of $\widetilde{Y}$ such that $\partial B_n \cap P = \emptyset$ for all n. Then

$$H^4(\widetilde{Y};\, (\widetilde{Y} \setminus B_n) \cup P) = H^4(\widetilde{Y};\, (\widetilde{Y} \setminus B_n))\,,$$

because $P \cap B_n$ is a finite set of points.

Let $\widetilde{B}_n := \phi^{-1}(B_n)$. Then

$$\widetilde{B}_1 \subset \widetilde{B}_2 \subset \cdots \subset \widetilde{B}_n \subset \cdots$$

is a (relatively) compact exhaustion of $\widetilde{X}$. Also, if $F = \phi^{-1}(P)$, then

$$H^4(\widetilde{X};\, (\widetilde{X} \setminus \widetilde{B}_n) \cup F) = H^4(\widetilde{X};\, (\widetilde{X} \setminus \widetilde{B}_n))\,,$$

because F is a union of curves (its real dimension is two).

Further, since $\partial B_n \cap P = \emptyset$, it can be deduced that

$$H^4(\widetilde{X};\, (\widetilde{X} \setminus \widetilde{B}_n) \cup F) = H^4(\widetilde{Y};\, (\widetilde{Y} \setminus B_n) \cup P)\,.$$

Indeed, this is easily seen from the fact that the space obtained by coning off $(\widetilde{X} \setminus \widetilde{B}_n) \cup F$ in $\widetilde{X}$ is homotopy equivalent to the space obtained by coning off $(\widetilde{Y} \setminus B_n) \cup P$ in $\widetilde{Y}$.

Hence ϕ^* induces an isomorphism between $H^4(\widetilde{X};\, (\widetilde{X} \setminus \widetilde{B}_n)$ and $H^4(\widetilde{Y};\, (\widetilde{Y} \setminus B_n))$ for all n. Now the lemma follows by taking limits. □

A Mayer–Vietoris argument gives the following:

Lemma 3.3. *Let X be a smooth complex projective minimal surface with holomorphically convex universal cover $\widetilde{X}$. Let $\phi : \widetilde{X} \longrightarrow \widetilde{Y}$ be the Cartan–Remmert reduction. Assume that the complex dimension of $\widetilde{Y}$ is two. Also assume that $G := \pi_1(X)$ is torsion-free. Define $Y := \widetilde{Y}/G$. Then $H^4(Y) = \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. Since ϕ is equivariant with respect to the actions of G on $\widetilde{X}$ and $\widetilde{Y}$, it follows that Y is obtained from X by collapsing some finitely many complex curves (possibly singular) in X to points. Let $A_1, \cdots, A_n$ be the connected components that are thus collapsed to points. Then Y is homotopy equivalent to X with cones cA_i attached to A_i, $1 \leq i \leq n$. The cohomological Mayer-Vietoris sequence gives

$$H^3(\bigcup_i A_i) \longrightarrow H^4(Y) \longrightarrow H^4(X) \oplus H^4(\bigcup_i cA_i) \longrightarrow H^4(\bigcup_i A_i)\,.$$

Since each A_i is 2-dimensional, and each cA_i is contractible, it follows that $H^4(Y) = H^4(X) = \mathbb{Z}$. □

Remark 3.4. Thus we are reduced to looking at smooth complex projective minimal surfaces X such that the Cartan–Remmert reduction $\widetilde{Y}$ of $\widetilde{X}$ is a complex surface. We collect together the topological facts proven so far:

1. $H^3(\widetilde{X}) = H^3(\widetilde{Y}) = 0$ (Lemma 2.5).
2. $H^4(X) = H^4(Y) = \mathbb{Z}$ (Lemma 3.3).
3. $\phi^* : \mathbb{Z} = H^4_c(\widetilde{X}) \longrightarrow H^4_c(\widetilde{Y})$ is an isomorphism (Lemma 3.2).
4. $\pi_2(X)$, $\pi_2(Y)$, $H_2(\widetilde{X})$, $H_2(\widetilde{Y})$, are free abelian groups (Theorem 2.3, Proposition 2.4 and Corollary 2.6). Also $H^2(\widetilde{X})$ and $H^2(\widetilde{Y})$ are direct products of copies of $\mathbb{Z}$ by Corollary 2.6.
5. $H_2(\widetilde{X}) = A \oplus H_2(\widetilde{Y})$ as $\mathbb{Z}G$-modules, where A is a finitely generated free $\mathbb{Z}G$-module. Also $A = 0$ if and only if $\widetilde{X}$ is Stein, i.e., $\widetilde{Y} = \widetilde{X}$ (Corollary 2.7).

We now set up a Leray–Serre cohomology spectral sequence for the classifying maps $Y := \widetilde{Y}/G \longrightarrow K(G,1)$ and $X \longrightarrow K(G,1)$ for the principal G–bundles $\widetilde{X} \longrightarrow X$ and $\widetilde{Y} \longrightarrow Y$ respectively. (See [Hu, p. 286], [Dy, Theorem 2.2] and [Kli, Proposition 1] for closely related arguments.)

Proposition 3.5. *Let X be a smooth complex projective minimal surface with holomorphically convex universal cover $\widetilde{X}$. Assume that $G := \pi_1(X)$ is torsion-free, and the Cartan–Remmert reduction $\widetilde{Y}$ of $\widetilde{X}$ is a complex surface. Let R be any left $\mathbb{Z}G$–module. Then*

$$H^{p+3}(G,\, R) = H^p(G,\, H^2(\widetilde{M},\, R))$$

for all $p \geq 3$.

There is an exact sequence of G-modules,

$$0 \longrightarrow H^2(G,\, R) \longrightarrow H^2(M,\, R) \longrightarrow (H^2(\widetilde{M},\, R))^G \longrightarrow H^3(G,\, R) \longrightarrow H^3(M,\, R) \longrightarrow$$
$$H^1(G,\, H^2(\widetilde{M},\, R)) \longrightarrow H^4(G,\, R) \longrightarrow H^4(M,\, R) \longrightarrow H^2(G,\, H^2(\widetilde{M},\, R)) \longrightarrow H^5(G,\, R) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Here M is X or $Y := \widetilde{Y}/G$ and $\widetilde{M}$ is $\widetilde{X}$ or $\widetilde{Y}$ respectively.

Proof. Let $\widetilde{M} \longrightarrow M$ be a principal G–bundle. Take a $K(G,1)$ space K; its universal cover $\widetilde{K}$ is contractible. Let $f : M \longrightarrow K$ be a classifying map. Let

$$\widetilde{g} : \widetilde{M} \times \widetilde{K} \longrightarrow \widetilde{K}$$

be the natural projection. The group G acts on $\widetilde{K}$ through deck transformations, and it acts on $\widetilde{Y} \times \widetilde{K}$ via the diagonal action. Since $\widetilde{g}$ is equivariant with respect to these actions, it induces a map

$$g : W := (\widetilde{M} \times \widetilde{K})/G \longrightarrow \widetilde{K}/G = K\,.$$

The fibers of g are homotopy equivalent to $\widetilde{Y}$ (see [Hu, pp. 285–286] for more details).

Note that $H^3(\widetilde{Y}) = 0 = H^4(\widetilde{Y})$ by Theorem 2.2. Hence $H^i(\widetilde{Y}) = 0$ for $i \neq 0, 2$.

The Leray–Serre cohomology spectral sequence for the above fibration with local coefficients R gives

$$H^p(K,\, (H^q(\widetilde{M},\, R)) \Longrightarrow H^{p+q}(M,\, R)\,,$$

and hence

$$H^p(G,\, (H^q(\widetilde{M},\, R)) \Longrightarrow H^{p+q}(M,\, R)$$

since K is a $K(G,1)$ space.

As $H^i(\widetilde{Y}) = 0$, $i \neq 0, 2$, it follows that $E_2^{p,0} = H^p(G, R)$ and $E_2^{p,2} = H^p(G,\, H^2(\widetilde{M},\, R))$ are the only (possibly) non-zero $E_2^{p,q}$ terms. Since $E_2^{p,1} = 0$, the differential $d_2 = 0$. Also, the differentials d_i are zero for $i > 3$. Thus d_3 is the only (possibly) non-zero differential. Hence

$$E_3 = E_2 \quad \text{and} \quad E_4 = E_5 = \cdots = E_\infty\,,$$

and also

- $E_\infty^{0,0} = H^0(G,\, H^0(\widetilde{M},\, R)) = H^0(G,\, R) = R^G$ (see [Br, p. 58] for instance),
- $E_\infty^{1,0} = H^1(G,\, H^0(\widetilde{M},\, R)) = H^1(G,\, R)$,
- $E_\infty^{2,0} = H^2(G,\, H^0(\widetilde{M},\, R)) = H^2(G,\, R)$,

- $E^{p,q}_\infty = H^p(G,\, H^q(\widetilde{M},\, R)) = H^p(G,\, 0) = 0$, for $q \neq 0, 2$.

Further, we have the following exact sequences:

- $0 \longrightarrow E^{0,2}_\infty \longrightarrow H^2(\widetilde{M},\, R)^G \xrightarrow{d_3} H^3(G,\, R)) \longrightarrow 0$,
- $0 \longrightarrow H^{p-3}(G,\, H^2(\widetilde{M},\, R)) \xrightarrow{d_3} H^p(G,\, R) \longrightarrow E^{p,0}_\infty \longrightarrow 0$, for all $p \geq 3$, and
- $0 \longrightarrow E^{p,2}_\infty \longrightarrow H^p(G,\, H^2(\widetilde{M},\, R)) \xrightarrow{d_3} H^{p+3}(G,\, R) \longrightarrow 0$, for all $p \geq 1$.

The above descriptions of the $E^{p,q}_\infty$ terms can be assembled to produce the following two exact sequences for the fibration:

$$0 \longrightarrow H^2(G,\, R) \longrightarrow H^2(M,\, R) \longrightarrow (H^2(\widetilde{M},\, R))^G \xrightarrow{d_3} H^3(G,\, R))$$

(assembling $E^{0,2}_\infty$ and $E^{0,2}_\infty$), and

$$H^{p-3}(G,\, H^2(\widetilde{M},\, R)) \xrightarrow{d_3} H^p(G,\, R) \longrightarrow H^p(M,\, R) \longrightarrow H^{p-2}(G,\, H^2(\widetilde{M},\, R))$$
$$\xrightarrow{d_3} H^{p+1}(G,\, R)) \quad \text{for all } \ p \geq 3\,.$$

Since $H^p(M, R) = 0$ for all $p > 4$, we immediately get from the above second exact sequence that

$$H^{p+3}(G,\, R) = H^p(G,\, H^2(\widetilde{M},\, R))$$

for all $p \geq 3$. Also, concatenating the first exact sequence with the second exact sequence for $p = 3, 4, 5$, we get the long exact sequence in the proposition. ☐

As a consequence we have:

Corollary 3.6. *Let X be a smooth complex projective minimal surface with holomorphically convex universal cover $\widetilde{X}$. Let the Cartan–Remmert reduction $\widetilde{Y}$ of $\widetilde{X}$ be a complex surface. Assume that $G = \pi_1(X)$ has dimension less than four. Then*

$$H^4(M,\, R) = H^2(G,\, H^2(\widetilde{M},\, R))\,.$$

In particular,

1. $\mathbb{Z} = H^4(M,\, \mathbb{Z}) = H^2(G,\, H^2(\widetilde{M}))$, *and*
2. $\mathbb{Z} = H^4_c(\widetilde{M},\, \mathbb{Z}) = H^4(M,\, \mathbb{Z}G) = H^2(G,\, H^2(\widetilde{M},\, \mathbb{Z}G))$.

Here M is X or $Y := \widetilde{Y}/G$ and $\widetilde{M}$ is $\widetilde{X}$ or $\widetilde{Y}$ respectively.

Proof. Lemma 3.3 gives that $H^4(X,\, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z} = H^4(Y,\, \mathbb{Z})$, while Lemma 3.2 gives that $H^4(X,\, \mathbb{Z}G) = \mathbb{Z} = H^4(Y,\, \mathbb{Z}G)$.

The rest follows from the exact sequence in Proposition 3.5, putting $H^4(G,\, R) = H^5(G,\, R) = 0$. ☐

4. Duality and Poincaré duality groups

Definition 4.1. A group Γ is a *Poincaré duality group of dimension n* if there is a $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-module I (called the dualizing module of Γ), which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$ as a $\mathbb{Z}$–module, and a homology class $\mu \in H_n(\Gamma,\, I)$ satisfying the condition that for any $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$–module A, the cap-product with μ

$$H^i(\Gamma,\, A) \longrightarrow H_{n-i}(\Gamma,\, I \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A)$$

is an isomorphism.

Poincaré duality groups of dimension n will be denoted by PD(n).

Remark 4.2. Replacing $\mathbb{Z}$ by an arbitrary ring R with identity, we obtain the definition of a Poincaré duality group over R.

Johnson and Wall, [JW], proposed the following definition of a Poincaré duality group, which was shown in [BE] to be equivalent to the above definition:

Definition 4.3. A group Γ is a *Poincaré duality group of dimension* n if Γ is of type FP (see [Br, p. 193] for definition) and

$$H^i(\Gamma,\, \mathbb{Z}\Gamma) \;=\; \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } i \neq n\,, \\ \mathbb{Z}, & \text{for } i = n\,. \end{cases}$$

The dualizing module is $I \;=\; H^n(\Gamma,\, \mathbb{Z}\Gamma)$. The main content of the equivalence between the two definitions is that Definition 4.1 forces Γ to be of type FP.

Several important groups satisfy Definition 4.1 except for the requirement that I is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$ as a $\mathbb{Z}$-module. This leads to the following theorem–definition [BE] (see also [Br] p. 220):

Theorem 4.4 (Bieri–Eckmann). *A group G is a duality group of dimension n if it satisfies one the following two equivalent conditions:*

- *There exists a $\mathbb{Z}G$-module I (called the* **dualizing module***) such that for any $\mathbb{Z}G$-module A, there is an isomorphism induced by cap-product with a fundamental class:*
$$H^i(G,\, A) \;\simeq\; H_{n-i}(G,\, I \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A)\,.$$
- *G is of type FP and*
$$H^i(G,\, \mathbb{Z}G) \;=\; \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } i \neq n\,, \\ I, & \text{for } i = n\,. \end{cases}$$

If the equivalent conditions hold, then I is isomorphic to $H^n(G,\, \mathbb{Z}G)$ as a $\mathbb{Z}G$-module and is torsion-free as an abelian group.

We shall need the following fact about duality groups.

Proposition 4.5. *Let G be a two-dimensional duality group, and let I be the dualizing module. Then for any $\mathbb{Z}G$-module N, the cohomology $H^2(G,\, N \otimes \mathbb{Z}G)$ is isomorphic to $N \otimes I$.*

Proof. Since G is a two-dimensional duality group, the dualizing module I is isomorphic to $H^2(G,\, \mathbb{Z}G)$ as a $\mathbb{Z}G$–module. Hence, by Theorem 4.4, for any G-module Q, we have $H^2(G,\, Q) \;=\; H_0(G,\, Q \otimes I)$. Further, $H_0(G,\, Q \otimes I) \;=\; (Q \otimes I)_G$ (see [Br, p. 55]).

Next, taking $Q \;=\; N \otimes \mathbb{Z}G$ it follows that $H^2(G,\, N \otimes \mathbb{Z}G)$ is isomorphic to $(N \otimes \mathbb{Z}G \otimes I)_G$, which, in turn, is isomorphic to $(N \otimes I \otimes \mathbb{Z}G)_G$.

Finally, for any $\mathbb{Z}G$–modules B and C, we have $(B \otimes C)_G \;=\; B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} C$ [Br, p. 55]. Hence

$$(N \otimes I \otimes \mathbb{Z}G)_G \;=\; ((N \otimes I) \otimes \mathbb{Z}G)_G \;=\; (N \otimes I) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} \mathbb{Z}G \;=\; N \otimes I\,.$$

Thus $H^2(G,\, N \otimes \mathbb{Z}G)$ is isomorphic to $N \otimes I$. □

4.1. Upgrading duality to Poincaré duality.

Proposition 4.6 ([BMS]). *Let G be a Kähler group fitting a short exact sequence*

$$1 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 1\,,$$

where N is finitely generated. Suppose that Q satisfies one of the following two conditions:

- *Q admits a discrete faithful non-elementary minimal action on a simplicial tree with more than two ends;*
- *Q admits a (strong-stable) cut R such that the intersection of all conjugates of R is trivial.*

Then G is virtually a surface group.

Let G be a Kähler group of dimension two. Then G is a duality group unless G splits as a non-trivial free product. In the latter case, by a theorem of Gromov (see [DG] for instance), or by Proposition 4.6, taking N to be trivial, G cannot be Kähler. Hence G must be a two-dimensional duality group.

Theorem 4.7. *Let X be a smooth complex projective surface with holomorphically convex universal cover such that $G \;=\; \pi_1(X)$ is of dimension two. Then G is isomorphic to the fundamental group of a compact Riemann surface.*

Proof. As before, we will assume that X is minimal. Let $\widetilde{Y}$ be the Cartan–Remmert reduction of $\widetilde{X}$. If $\widetilde{Y}$ is an open Riemann surface, then G must be isomorphic to the fundamental group of a compact Riemann surface by Lemma 3.1.

Assume that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \widetilde{Y} = 2$. We have $\mathbb{Z} = H^2(G, H^2(\widetilde{Y}))$ by Corollary 3.6.

Let us denote the G–module $H^2(\widetilde{Y})$ by N. Then, by Corollary 3.6 again, we have

$$\mathbb{Z} = H^2(G, N \otimes \mathbb{Z}G),$$

because $H^2(\widetilde{Y}, \mathbb{Z}G) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H_2(\widetilde{Y}), \mathbb{Z}G)$ by the universal coefficient theorem and the latter is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H_2(\widetilde{Y}), \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}G = N \otimes \mathbb{Z}G$.

Let B be a dualizing module for G. Now the group $H_2(\widetilde{Y})$ is free abelian by Proposition 2.4. If $H_2(\widetilde{Y}) = 0$, then $N = 0$ and hence $N \otimes B = 0 \neq \mathbb{Z}$. If, on the other hand, the rank of $H_2(\widetilde{Y})$ is greater than one, then

$$\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \subset \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H_2(\widetilde{Y}), \mathbb{Z}) = N.$$

It follows that $(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}) \otimes B \subset \mathbb{Z}$, i.e., $(B \oplus B) \subset \mathbb{Z}$, which is impossible. Therefore $H_2(\widetilde{Y}) = \mathbb{Z} = N$. Hence finally, we have,

$$\mathbb{Z} = N \otimes B = \mathbb{Z} \otimes B = B.$$

Thus, $H^2(G, \mathbb{Z}G) = \mathbb{Z}$, and G must be a PD(2) group. By a theorem of Müller, Eckmann and Linnell (see [Ec] for instance), G must be a surface group. ☐

By the Lefschetz hyperplane theorem, any projective group is the fundamental group of a smooth complex projective surface. A conjecture of Shafarevich states that the universal cover of any smooth complex projective variety is holomorphically convex. Therefore, Theorem 4.7 implies the following:

Corollary 4.8. *Let G be a Kähler group of dimension two such that G is not the fundamental group of some compact Riemann surface. Then*

(a) *either G is non-projective,*
(b) *or G is the fundamental group of a smooth projective complex surface X such that X is a counterexample to the Shafarevich conjecture.*

The following theorem of Eyssidieux, Katzarkov, Pantev and Ramachandran [EKPR] (see also [KR, Ey]) shall be needed in obtaining a useful Corollary:

Theorem 4.9 ([EKPR])**.** *Let X be a smooth complex projective variety such that its fundamental group $\pi_1(X)$ is linear (meaning a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ for some n). Then the universal cover $\widetilde{X}$ of X is holomorphically convex.*

Theorem 4.7 and Theorem 4.9 together immediately give the following:

Corollary 4.10. *Let G be a linear projective group of dimension two. Then G is a surface group.*

5. Rational cohomological dimension two

Much of what we have done so far works in the more general context of group rings RG over arbitrary commutative rings R with identity. We refer to Remark 4.2 for the appropriate generalization of duality and PD(n) groups over R. Consider the special case of $R = \mathbb{Q}$. Let G be a projective linear group of *rational* cohomological dimension two. Then as before, G will have to be a duality group over $\mathbb{Q}$. The proof of Theorem 4.7 then gives

$$H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}G) = \mathbb{Q}$$

forcing G to be a PD(2) group over $\mathbb{Q}$.

We shall need the following theorem due to Bowditch and Kleiner.

Theorem 5.1 ([Bo, Kle])**.** *Suppose $\mathbb{F}$ is a field, and that H is a group which is* FP_2 *over* $\mathbb{F}$*. If $H^2(H, \mathbb{F}H)$ has a 1-dimensional H–invariant subspace, then H is a virtual surface group. Hence H is a* $\mathrm{PD}(2)$ *group over $\mathbb{Q}$ if and only if H is virtually a surface group.*

Also, if H is a FP_2 *group over $\mathbb{Z}$, and $H^2(H, \mathbb{Z}H)$ has a 1-dimensional H–invariant submodule, then H is virtually a surface group.*

Combining Theorem 5.1 with the above observation that G is a PD(2) group over $\mathbb{Q}$, we obtain the following analogue of Theorem 4.7:

Theorem 5.2. *Let G be holomorphically convex,* FP_2 *over $\mathbb{Q}$ and of rational cohomological dimension two. Then G is virtually a surface group.*

Again, combining Theorem 5.2 with Theorem 4.9 we obtain the following:

Corollary 5.3. *Let G be a linear projective group that is* FP_2 *over $\mathbb{Q}$ and of rational cohomological dimension two. Then G is virtually a surface group. Hence a linear one-relator projective group must be a virtual surface group.*

The last assertion of Corollary 5.3 follows from the fact that one-relator groups have rational cohomological dimension two [LS]. We note that Corollary 5.3 is a special case of the main theorem of [BM].

6. An example

In [Ri], Rivin asks if there is any complex surface other than $\mathbb{P}^1 \times C$, where C is a compact Riemann surface, whose fundamental group is of cohomological dimension at most two. We will now show that such surfaces exist.

Example 6.1. Let X be a smooth complex projective surface that is an elliptic fibration over a compact Riemann surface S of positive genus. Let T be a compact oriented surface of genus one; so the regular fibers of X are homeomorphic to T. Further assume that the fibration has more than one singular fiber, such that the homology classes of simple closed curves on T that get pinched in the singular fibers are linearly independent in $H_1(T)$. (See [BHPV, p. 201] for an exhaustive list of possibilities of singular fibers). It follows that $\iota_*(\pi_1(T) \subset \pi_1(X)$ is finite, where $\iota : T \longrightarrow X$ is the inclusion of a regular fiber into X. Hence $\pi_1(X)$ is virtually isomorphic to $\pi_1(S)$. Clearly $X \neq \mathbb{P}^1 \times C$.

7. $\mathcal{HC}$ groups

In this section we shall indicate an implication of the Shafarevich conjecture for fundamental groups of smooth projective surfaces. Let $\mathcal{K}$, $\mathcal{P}$, $\mathcal{HC}$ denote respectively the class of Kähler groups, projective groups and holomorphically convex groups.

It is clear that $\mathcal{HC} \subset \mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{K}$. The question of reversing the last inclusion is a well-known open problem. The following question asks explicitly if the first inclusion can be reversed. It can be thought of as a group-theoretic version of the Shafarevich conjecture.

Conjecture 7.1. (Group-theoretic Shafarevich conjecture) $\mathcal{HC} = \mathcal{P}$.

The (usual) Shafarevich conjecture certainly implies a positive answer to Conjecture 7.1 via the Lefschetz hyperplane Theorem. We describe now a general restriction on holomorphically convex groups.

Proposition 7.2. *Let $G = \pi_1(X)$ be the fundamental group of a smooth complex projective surface X with holomorphically convex universal cover. Then there exists an exact sequence of G-modules*

$$0 \longrightarrow H^2(G, \mathbb{Z}G) \longrightarrow \pi_2(X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_G(\pi_2(X), \mathbb{Z}G) \longrightarrow H^3(G, \mathbb{Z}G) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

It follows that $H^2(G, \mathbb{Z}G)$ is a free abelian group.

Proof. From Proposition 3.5, there is an exact sequence of G-modules,

$$0 \longrightarrow H^2(G,\, \mathbb{Z}G) \longrightarrow H^2(X,\, \mathbb{Z}G) \longrightarrow (H^2(\widetilde{X},\, \mathbb{Z}G))^G \longrightarrow H^3(G,\, \mathbb{Z}G) \longrightarrow H^3(X,\, \mathbb{Z}G)\,.$$

The first statement of the proposition follows from the following sequence of observations.
1) We have $H^2(X,\, \mathbb{Z}G) = H^2_c(\widetilde{X},\, \mathbb{Z}) = H_2(\widetilde{X},\, \mathbb{Z}) = \pi_2(X)$, where the first equality is the standard interpretation for cohomology with $\mathbb{Z}G$ coefficients (see [Br, p. 209] for instance), the second equality follows from Poincaré duality applied to $\widetilde{X}$, and the third equality follows from the Hurewicz Theorem.
2) We have $H^3(X,\, \mathbb{Z}G) = H^3_c(\widetilde{X},\, \mathbb{Z}) = H_1(\widetilde{X},\, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, by a similar argument.
3) Next, $H^2(\widetilde{X},\, \mathbb{Z}G) = \mathrm{Hom}(H_2(\widetilde{X}),\, \mathbb{Z}G) = \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_2(X),\, \mathbb{Z}G)$.
4) Finally, $\mathrm{Hom}(M,\, N)^G = \mathrm{Hom}_G(M,\, N)$.

In particular, $H^2(G,\, \mathbb{Z}G)$ injects into $\pi_2(X)$ which is free abelian by Proposition 2.4. The second statement of the proposition follows. ☐

A Theorem of Bestvina and Mess [BeMe] shows that for a (Gromov) hyperbolic group G, the cohomology $H^2(G,\, \mathbb{Z}G)$ is isomorphic to the first Čech cohomology $H^1_{\text{Cech}}(\partial G)$ of the boundary. Thus Conjecture 7.1 leads to the following:

Question 7.3. Let $G \in \mathcal{K}$ be Gromov-hyperbolic. Is $H^1_{\text{Cech}}(\partial G)$ free abelian?

However, we do not know any example of a Gromov-hyperbolic G for which $H^1_{\text{Cech}}(\partial G)$ is not free abelian.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank R. V. Gurjar for generously sharing his notes on [Gu] with us and for telling us that Deligne has observed that the techniques of [Gu] lead to a proof of Proposition 2.4. We are particularly grateful to Gadde Anandaswarup for his help with homological algebra, especially for showing us Proposition 4.5 and its proof. We are grateful to Thomas Delzant for communicating the result of [DeSt] to us. Different parts of this work were done while the authors were visiting Harish-Chandra Research Institute, Allahabad, and Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Chennai and during a visit of the second author to Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai. We thank these institutions for their hospitality.

REFERENCES

[ABCKT] J. Amorós, M. Burger, K. Corlette, D. Kotschick and D. Toledo: *Fundamental groups of compact Kähler manifolds*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 44, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996.

[AN] A. Andreotti and R. Narasimhan: A topological property of Runge pairs. *Ann. of Math.* **76** (1962), 499–509.

[BE] R. Bieri and B. Eckmann: Groups with homological duality generalizing Poincaré duality. *Invent. Math.* **20** (1973), 103–124.

[BHPV] W. Barth, K. Hulek, C. Peters and A. van de Ven: *Compact complex surfaces*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 3. Folge, Vol. 4. Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2004.

[BeMe] M. Bestvina and G. Mess: The boundary of negatively curved groups. *J.Amer. Math. Soc.* **4** (1991), 469–481.

[BMS] I. Biswas, M. Mj and H. Seshadri: Three manifold groups, Kähler groups and complex surfaces. *Commun. Contemp. Math.* (to appear), arXiv:1101.1162.

[BM] I. Biswas and M. Mj: One relator Kähler groups. Preprint, arXiv:1201.5772.

[Bo] B. H. Bowditch: Planar groups and the Seifert conjecture. *Jour. Reine Angew. Math.* **576** (2004), 11–62.

[Br] K. S. Brown: *Cohomology of groups*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, 1982.

[DG] T. Delzant and M. Gromov: Cuts in Kähler groups. In: *Infinite groups: geometric, combinatorial and dynamical aspects*, ed. L. Bartholdi, 31–55, Progress in Mathematics, Vol. 248, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2005.

[DeSt] T. Delzant and R. Strebel: personal communication, 2012.

[DiSu] A. Dimca and A. I. Suciu: Which 3-manifold groups are Kähler groups? *Jour. Eur. Math. Soc.* **11** (2009), 521–528.

[Dy] M. N. Dyer: On the second homotopy module of two-dimensional CW complexes. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **55** (1976), 400–404.

[Ec] B. Eckmann: Poincaré duality groups of dimension two are surface groups. In: *Combinatorial group theory and topology* (eds. S.M. Gersten and J. Stallings), 35–51, Annals of Math. Studies 111, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1987.

[EKPR] P. Eyssidieux, L. Katzarkov, T. Pantev and M. Ramachandran: Linear Shafarevich conjecture. Preprint, arXiv:0904.0693.

[Ey] P. Eyssidieux: Sur la convexité holomorphe des revêtements linéaires réductifs d'une variété projective algébrique complexe. *Invent. Math.* **156** (2004), 503–564.

[GM] M. Goresky and R. MacPherson: *Stratified Morse theory.* Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete 14. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.

[Gr] R. Greenblatt: Homology with local coefficients amd characteristic classes *Homology, Homotopy and Applications* **8** (2006), 91–103.

[Gu] R. V. Gurjar: Two remarks on the topology of projective surfaces. *Math. Ann.* **328** (2004), 701–706.

[Hu] S. T. Hu: *Homotopy theory.* Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 8, Academic Press, New York and London, 1959.

[JW] F. E. A. Johnson and C. T. C. Wall: On groups satisfying Poincaré duality. *Ann. of Math.* **96** (1972), 593–598.

[Kle] B. Kleiner: The cohomology group of G with RG–coefficients, in preparation.

[Kli] B. Klingler: Kähler groups and duality. Preprint, arXiv:math 1005.2836.

[Ko] D. Kotschick: Three-manifolds and Kähler groups. *Ann. Inst. Fourier* (to appear), arXiv:math 1011.4084.

[KR] L. Katzarkov and M. Ramachandran: On the universal coverings of algebraic surfaces. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* **31** (1998), 525–535.

[LS] R. C. Lyndon and P. E. Schupp: *Combinatorial group theory.* Springer-Verlag, 1977.

[Na] R. Narasimhan: On the homology groups of Stein spaces. *Invent. Math.* **2** (1967), 377–385.

[Re] R. Remmert: Sur les espaces analytiques holomorphiquement séparables et holomorphiquement convexes. *Com. Ren. Acad. Sci. Paris* **243** (1956), 118–121.

[Re] A. Reznikov: The structure of Kähler groups, I. Second cohomology. In: *Motives, polylogarithms and Hodge theory*, 717–730, Int. Press Lect. Ser., 3, II, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2002.

[Ri] I. Rivin: Rigidity of fibering. Preprint, arXiv:1106.4595v2.

[To] D. Toledo: Examples of fundamental groups of compact Kähler manifolds. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **22** (1990), 339–343.

School of Mathematics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Homi Bhabha Road, Bombay 400005, India

E-mail address: `indranil@math.tifr.res.in`

RKM Vivekananda University, Belur Math, WB 711202, India

E-mail address: `mahan.mj@gmail.com; mahan@rkmvu.ac.in`